...E WASTEWATER SECTION

COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

ER: T+T APPLICANT: _____

ESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: _____

OSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____

...TION OF SITE: _____ PROPERTY RECORDED: _____

ER SUPPLY: ❑ Private ❑ Public ❑ Well ❑ Spring ❑ Other _____

...UATION METHOD: ❑ Auger Boring ❑ Pit ❑ Cut

OF WASTEWATER: ❑ Sewage ❑ Industrial Process ❑ Mixed

.1940 LAND-SCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORI-ZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
linear 640	0to30	C	SbK Fi SEAP					
	30to48	clay loam	FR SEAP	P3				1.35
linear 6	0to30	C	SbK Fi SEAP					
	30to48	clay loam	FR SEAP	P3				1.3

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____

SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____

EVALUATED BY: _____

OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____