DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICATION DATE _____
ADDRESS: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 12-2-01
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: lot 14 Third Creek PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed 100' min well/septic separation

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1		0-6/12	compacted RC/cl	common mi/sap	fi sp				.3+
		6/12 - 71	massive Ry-ybrw	L/SL sap	fr sss-np				
			few mi d to ~18"						
2		0-50±	RCL fill						(4)
		50±	clay fill material						
3		0-40" upper side	RCL fill	to original A horizon					(4)
4		0-5	gr-nksb loam sl	fr sso					.3
		5-29	SRB pc	fr sp ssp					
		29-52	m-vksab gr cl	fi/vfi sp fr sss-sp					
		52-62+	vksb/mb-massive	Ry L sap fr fi sp	fe/mn d				

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: _____

#5 0-4" wkab loam sl
4-10 SRB PC fr sp ssp
10-29 wk-mk brn cl fi sp fr ss-ns sp
29-61 massive Ry SL sap fr ss-ns sp

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION

CC (Concave Slope)
CV (Convex Slope)
D (Drainage Way)
DS (Debris Slump)
FP (Flood Plain)
FS (Foot Slope)
H (Head Slope)
L (Linear Slope)
N (Nose Slope)
R (Ridge)
S (Shoulder Slope)
T (Terrace)

GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*
I	S (Sand) LS (Loamy Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4
II	SL (Sandy Loam) L (Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3
III	SCL (Sandy Clay Loam) SiL (Silt Loam) CL (Clay Loam) SiCL (Silty Clay Loam) Si (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15
IV	SC (Sandy Clay) SiC (Silty Clay) C (Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05
	O (Organic)	None	

MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE

NEXP (Non-expansive)
SEXP (Slightly Expansive)
EXP (Expansive)

STRUCTURE

G (Single Grain)
M (Massive)
CR (Crumb)
GR (Granular)
SBK (Subangular Blocky)
ABK (Angular Blocky)
PL (Platy)
PR (Prismatic)

MOIST

VFR (Very Friable)
FR (Friable)
FI (Firm)
VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)
EFI (Extremely Firm)

WET


NS (Non-sticky)
SS (Slightly Sticky)
S (Sticky)
VS (Very Sticky)
NP (Non-plastic)
SP (Slightly Plastic)
P (Plastic)
VP (Very Plastic)

***Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.**

NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)
Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.
Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



DENR (#####)
Review (#####)

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICATION DATE _____
ADDRESS: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 12-20-07
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 14 continued PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☒ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	14-1	0-12	sa mod cemented compacted Rcl/cl	fi sp					.3 > 12"
		12-72	massive eg-y/brn LSm	fi sp fi-vl sl-to 30" fr sst sp					
2									
3	14-2	0-11	Rcl fill	(4)					.25-.35
		11-17	original A gn-wk sab brn scl fr sss sp						
		17-26	bw-wk sab rc fr sp sap						
		26-48	wk sab R brn cl fr sp-sap	fa cem gravelly coatings					
		48-70	L/sl Sm	fr sss sp-np					
4	14-3 Aff 1-16-02	0-5	gn-wk sab brn scl fr sss sp						.25 @ 20" to
		5-25	sab R-yr cl fi-fr sss sp						
		25-45	wk sab yr cl fi-fr sp sss sp	few dkr 2 brn/gy 32"+					

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: _____

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SiL (Silt Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SiCL (Silty Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		Si (Silt)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SiC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
		C (Clay)			VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

***Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.**

NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).

DENR (######)

Review (#####)

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ____ *of* ____
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICATION DATE _____
ADDRESS: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 12-13-01
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 15 Thistlewaite PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LAND-SCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORI-ZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1		0-20	fill						4
		20-32	compacted A/B	sl/c					
		32-52	wksbk pr cl/l common mi/sp						
2		0-27	mod fill						4
		27-32	orig A/B compacted	Bmod fr ssp					
		32-50	(B) O structured compacted	bmsbk fi sp					
		50-60	Rf l sap	frssp					
3		0-2	gr wksbk bmsicl						.3
		2-17	SKb RC	frssp sso					
		17-44	(BC) wksbk mod common mi/sap		frssp				
		44-70	wksbk-massive rey l sap	frssp					
4		0-6	gr wksbk bmsicl	frssp					.3
		6-22	SKb RC/L	frsp ssp					
		22-44	wksbk pr cl/l common mi/sp	frssp					
		44-67	massive rey l/sl sap	frssp					

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: _____

0-17 wksbk pr cl/l common mi/sp frssp
X 44-60 l/sl sap frssp

profile similar to between 1 & 2

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope) CV (Convex Slope) D (Drainage Way) DS (Debris Slump) FP (Flood Plain) FS (Foot Slope) H (Head Slope) L (Linear Slope) N (Nose Slope) R (Ridge) S (Shoulder Slope) T (Terrace)	I	S (Sand) LS (Loamy Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive) SEXP (Slightly Expansive) EXP (Expansive)	G (Single Grain) M (Massive) CR (Crumb) GR (Granular) SBK (Subangular Blocky) ABK (Angular Blocky) PL (Platy) PR (Prismatic)
	II	SL (Sandy Loam) L (Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		
	III	SCL (Sandy Clay Loam) SiL (Silt Loam) CL (Clay Loam) SiCL (Silty Clay Loam) Si (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay) SiC (Silty Clay) C (Clay) O (Organic)	0.4 - 0.1 None	0.2 - 0.05		

MOIST	WET
VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
	SP (Slightly Plastic)
	P (Plastic)
	VP (Very Plastic)

***Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.**


NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



DENR (######)

Review (#####)

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ____ *of* ____
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICATION DATE _____
ADDRESS: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 12-20-01
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 15 continued PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☒ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LAND-SCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORI-ZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	15-1	0-14	compacted sl/c	fi-vfi					-1-
		14-41 (Bc)	wk sab yrc	L fi-fr ss sp	common inf sap				.25
		41-60	massive Ry-y brn	L sap fr ss sp					.4
2	15-2	0-5	wk sab r brn sl	L fi-fr ss sp					
		5-15	m-wk sab R c	L fi-fr sp ss sp so sp					
		15-33	R-c/yr-b wk sab	yr-ry L common inf sap					.3
		33-68	massive Ry-y brn	L sap fr ss sp-sp					
3	15-3	0-7	gr-wk sab brn sl	fr ss sp					
		7-30	sab r c	fi-fr ss sp so					.3
		30-64	wk sab yr cl	fi-fr ss sp	few common inf sap				
4	15-4	0-6	gr-wk sab brn sl	fr ss sp					
		6-19	sab r c	fr sp ss sp					.3
		19-31	(Bc) wk sab-yr cl	common inf sap					
		31-72	massive L sap	L mica fr ss sp					

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: _____

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SiL (Silt Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SiCL (Silty Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		Si (Silt)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SiC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
		C (Clay)			VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

***Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.**

NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).

DENR (######)

Review (#####)