DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

Joey L. Eldridge Applicant

OWNER: William Houpe APPLICATION DATE: _____
ADDRESS: 539 Danville Pike, Hillsville, Va 24343 DATE EVALUATED: _____
PROPOSED FACILITY: 3BR/ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): 360 PROPERTY SIZE: ~ 758 Ac.
LOCATION OF SITE: W crawl PROPERTY RECORDED: Yes
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed Doris Dale Lot #57

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	Linear 8%	0-3	l gr	vfr NS NP N Exp					PS .30
		3-16	cl sbk	fr SS SP SE Exp					
		16-48	c sbk	fr/ff S P SE Exp					
2	Linear 1%	0-10	l gr	vfr NS NP N Exp					PS .30
		10-14	cl sbk	fr SS SP SE Exp					
		14-49	c sbk	fr/ff S P SE Exp					
3	Linear 6%	0-9	l gr	vfr NS NP N Exp					PS .30
		9-15	cl sbk	fr SS SP SE Exp					
		15-49	c sbk	fr/ff S P SE Exp					
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)	PS	PS
System Type(s)	Gravity Conv.	Pump, 25% Red
Site LTAR	.30	.30

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: Bill Johnson
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: _____

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SiL (Silt Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SiCL (Silty Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		Si (Silt)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SiC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
		C (Clay)			VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

***Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.**


NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



DENR (######)

Review (#####)