DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICATION DATE _____
ADDRESS: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 5-31-02
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 1 North Crossing PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LAND-SCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORI-ZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1		0-16	compacted sil/c x fi						.3
		16-53	SBK RCL fi sss sp						7/16"
		53-65	wk f sbk yr L sap fi sss p						
2	edge of FS	0-6	brn sil						LPP
		6-53	(wk sbk RBRN c/cL fi ssp						.05-.1
			fig did - few pr mn in bot 2/3						OR w/2
			common mangane coatings w/27"						CONV w/FR
			Definite lateral water movement here						Drain
3		0-10	Dk brn sil RBRN sbk						
		10-36	SBK RCL fi ssp						.3
		36-55	SBK RC fi sss p						
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): U
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: (1) for clients proposal, Possible dev. Scenario on back.
Was told by client not to issue IP at this time.

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SiL (Silt Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SiCL (Silty Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		Si (Silt)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SiC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
		C (Clay)			VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

***Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.**


NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



DENR (######)

Review (#####)