DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ____ of ____
PROPERTY ID #: ____
COUNTY: ____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: James Rizzo
ADDRESS: ____
PROPOSED FACILITY: ____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): ____
LOCATION OF SITE: Creek's Edge Lot 5

APPLICATION DATE ____
DATE EVALUATED: 10-15-05
PROPERTY SIZE: ____
PROPERTY RECORDED: ____

WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☒ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other ____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☒ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☒ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	6% sideslope	0-41	1sbkc	fr ss/sps E	7.5YR 7/1 chroma 7	41			PS .25 24" trench depth
		41-48	1abkc	fr ss/sps E	41"				
		48	rock						
			redoxy morphic features						
			31-48						
2	w/in upper 40' of lot	0-45	1abkc	fr ss/sp SE		48			PS .25
		45-48	2abkc						
			w/ redoxymorphic features						
3	below 40'	0-36	1abkc	fr ss/sp SE	7.5YR 7/1	36			PS .25 24" trench depth
		36-48	1abkc	fr chroma 1					
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): ____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): U
EVALUATED BY: Jada Melville
OTHER(S) PRESENT: Wesley Spangler
Wesley has soil notes from this site

COMMENTS: site may have been terraced in past
Soils w/ chroma ≥ 2 below terrace (over).

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	Si (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SiCL (Silty Clay Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		SiL (Silt Loam)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SiC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
		C (Clay)			VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None	None	EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

*Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.


NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



DENR (######)

Review (#####)

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH, AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: James Raggio APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 10/15/05
PROPOSED FACILITY: Home PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): 480 PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: L5 PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☒ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☒ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☒ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	NCCL 6-8 ROLLING	0-6	SSBK / hcl	FR SS-SP (SEEP)					PS
		7-30	MMSBK / cl	FR SS-SP (SEEP)					
		31-44	MF SBK / cl	FR SS-MP (SEEP)					
2									
3									
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____

EVALUATED BY: Wesley Sparks
OTHER(S) PRESENT: Tom Simpson

COMMENTS: _____

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR	LPP .1957 LTAR	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SI (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SICL (Silty Clay Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)			MOIST	WET
S (Shoulder Slope)		SLC (Silt Loam Clay)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SIC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
					VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft²

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).

