...H WASTEWATER SECTION

PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

# SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
## *for* ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 4-20-00
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 30 Grove Meadow PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

#5 (4) 0-12" brn scl fr sspg 12-25 scb RC few ch 2 & 10YR 5/6 few ch 2 & 10YR 6/2 many ch 2 & 10YR 5/8 25-60+ wksbk RC few ch 2 & 10YR 6/2 many ch 2 & 10YR 5/8 RSCL-HSSSP

| PROFILE # | 1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE % | HORIZON DEPTH (IN.) | SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE | SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY | OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR | .1943 SOIL DEPTH | .1956 SAPRO CLASS | .1944 RESTR HORIZ | PROFILE CLASS & LTAR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | #5 | 0-15 | scl | few ch 2 & 10YR 6/2 many ch 2 & 10YR 5/8 | @ 26" | | | | (4) |
| | | 15-60 | RC | | | | | | |
| 2 | | 0-8 | brn scl fr | | | | | | PS .25 |
| | | @40 | 1f fsbk yr cl fr sp | | common yb mottles | | | | |
| | | 40-54 | (B-C) wksbk cl fr ssp | | | | | | |
| 3 | | 0-20 | gr brn scl fr sspp | | | | | | PS .3 |
| | | 20-50 | 1f sbk RC fr sp | | | | | | |
| | | 50-70 | wksbk yr cl fr ssp | | common mi bp ssp | | | | |
| ON 2?/20 | | 0-11 | gr scl fr sspp | | common | | | | PS .25=3 |
| | | 11-45 | 1f sbk rc fr sp | | lt yb mottles | | | | |
| | | 45-58 | (BC) wksbk yr cl fr ssp | | common mi bp | | | | |

| DESCRIPTION | INITIAL SYSTEM | REPAIR SYSTEM |
|---|---|---|
| ailable Space (.1945) | | |
| em Type(s) | | |
| TAR | | |

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

...N OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
...3 WASTEWATER SECTION

PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

# SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
## *for* ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 9-20-22
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 29 Grace Meadow PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut generally poor LS position / insufficient space
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

| PROFILE # | .1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE % | HORIZON DEPTH (IN.) | SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE | .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY | OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR | .1943 SOIL DEPTH | .1956 SAPRO CLASS | .1944 RESTR HORIZ | PROFILE CLASS & LTAR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 0-27 | weak ben, subscallel blocky | | | | | | |
| | | 27-60 | SaLy pOL | common - many brown mottles | 10YR | | Epod | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | 0-6 | weak ben subscal blocky | | | | | | .2 |
| | | 6-40 | weak-mod subscal blocky | many brown mottles | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| DESCRIPTION | INITIAL SYSTEM | REPAIR SYSTEM |
|---|---|---|
| vailable Space (.1945) | | |
| ystem Type(s) | | |
| te LTAR | | |

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____