DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH, AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: Robert Hill APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 2-10-96
PROPOSED FACILITY: SFR PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): 360 gpd PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 2, Bonanza Subdivision PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☒ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☒ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☒ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	LSS ~6%	0-12	gr wksab brn scl	fr					.25-.3
		12-24	wksab dbrn cl	fr SS,SP					
		24-41	sab RC micaceous	1:1 fi, SP					
		41-48+	wksab RCL micaceous	1:1 fr SS, SP					
2	LSS 8-10%	0-12	gr wksab brn scl	fr					.2-.25
		12-19	wksab brn cl	1:1 fr					
		19-26	gr dbrn scl	1:1 fr					
		26-38	sab RC	mixed vfi					
		38-48	wksab brn-R cL	mixed fr-fi					
3									
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: (1) due to insufficient space w/ present plan; Possibility to change to PS if upon verification lot was recorded prior to '83 '77; (2) House/driveway change to increase usable drainfield area (right side-back) (3) Adjust house towards lake approx. ~ probably in reality ~5'

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL 1955 LTAR	LPP 1957 LTAR	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SI (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SICL (Silty Clay Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		SLC (Silt Loam Clay)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SIC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
					VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft²

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).

