COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

..ER: Mike Helms APPLICANT: _____

)RESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 5/4 & 5/04

POSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____

ATION OF SITE: Lot 6 Lot 837B PROPERTY RECORDED: _____

TER SUPPLY: ☒ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____

LUATION METHOD: ☒ Auger Boring ☒ Pit ☐ Cut

E OF WASTEWATER: ☒ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
AH-1	0-6	Brw SCL		SS, SP, SE, FR w/ Common Mica Flakes & few-to many SAP Inclus				PS, 3
	6-36"	Rd/Brw C/CL m-w SBK						
	36"-48"	L SAP						
Pit	0-4	Brw SCL		SS, SP, SE, F-FR				PS, 3
	4-28	Rd/Brw C/CL m-w SBK						
	28"-36"	L/SCL SAP						
AH-2	0-6	Brw SCL		SS, SP, SE, FR w/ Common Mica Flakes & few-to many SAP Inclus				
	6-36	Rd/Brw C/CL m-w SBK						
	36-48	L SAP						

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
able Space (.1945)		
m Type(s)		
TAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____

SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____

EVALUATED BY: _____

OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

PROPERTY RECORDED: _____

COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
FOR
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

Old

APPLICANT: Crescent Resources OWNER: _ AGENT: _ PHONE: _____

ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: _____

PROPOSED FACILITY: _____

PROPERTY SIZE: _____

LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 83N Sec IN

WATER SUPPLY: On-Site Well ___ Comm. Well ___ Public ___ Other _____ EVAL. METHOD: Auger Boring ___ Pit ___ Cut ___

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCP POS. (%)	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	.1941 STRUCTURE/TEXTURE	.1941 MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	.1942 WETNESS COND.	.1944 RESTRICT HORIZONS	.1948 CLASS OF HORIZ	1943/ 1956 SAPRLT CLASS.	HORIZON LTAR
1	9% No Slope	0-15"	C SBK	S-EAP/EF	PS	PS	PS	PS	.3
		15"-36"	SCL WSBK						
		36"-48"	SAP						
2	9% Nose To Complex	0-19"	C SBK	S-EAP/EF	PS	PS	PS	PS	.3
		19"-36"	SCL WSBK	N-EAP/EF					
3									
4									
5									

AVAILABLE SPACE (.1945) 2 / Sure CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____ SITE LONG-TERM ACCEPTANCE RATE: .3

EVALUATED BY: DC Moore OTHER(S) PRESENT: Maria Watson

COMMENTS: _____