DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____


SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: CRT APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 3-23-99
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 305 phase II Tech PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut 60 offsite
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	HHS TD	0-7	brn cl						PS .3
		7-55	mott sb RC/cl fr ss sp		sandy clay w/ mott				
2		0-19	gy-w ksb dk brn sc/cl fr ss sp		33+			0 ≤ 21	PS .2-.25
		19-33	gy mott brn sc/cl fr ss sp						
		33-49	gley gy sc fr ss sp						
3									
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____


55,303. sq. ft.
35' SETB
148'
31+00
50' R/W
258'
28'
B-B
24'
EOP
30+00
203'
299,701. sq. ft.
S.F.E.
32,92 sq. ft.
359
193'
154'
186'
377
29+00
70'
S.F.E.
PC = 28+42.20
240'
305
50'
S.F.E.
28+00
N26°50'48"W
142.89'
DRIVE
189'
360
192'
27+00
PT = 26+89.31
DRIVE
30,863. sq. ft.
S.F.E.
166'
20' PDSE
215'
26+00
50' R/W

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____


SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: CKT APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 4-3-99
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 359 Pinecliff The Pines SEC PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1		0-10	gr brnsnd sch fr						PS .3
		10-30	sl sb R c	fr SS-S					
		30-57	wk sb R cl	mi sp fr	10YR 5/6 10YR 6/2				
2		0-20	gr sl sb R c	fr SS-S	Somewhat compacted				PS .3
		20-53	wk sb gr cl	fr SS-S					
		53-58	Rock Layer Saprolite						
3									
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____


C.O.S. 306
33,689. sq. ft.
168'
154'
193'
29+00
C.O.S. 307
83'
113'
57'
PC = 28+42.20
240'
46,329. sq. ft.
305
246'
28+00
N26°50'48"W
142.89'
192'
DRIVE
27+00
PT = 26+99.31
221'
DRIVE
38,554. sq. ft.
304
26+00
215'
118'
112'
50'
R/W
CENTERLINE
R=575.00
L=420.64
83,511. sq. ft.