


Scale: 1 inch equals 50 feet	S&ME	The Farms - Phase V	FIGURE
Drawn by: JBP		Lot 560	2
Reviewed by: KS		Iredell County, NC	
Date: February, 2007	ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES • ENGINEERING • TESTING	S&ME Project #1588-05-070	

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: Farms V
ADDRESS: _____
PROPOSED FACILITY: House
PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): 480
LOCATION OF SITE: Lot 560

APPLICATION DATE _____
DATE EVALUATED: 5/9/06
PROPERTY SIZE: _____
PROPERTY RECORDED: _____

WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☒ Public ☒ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☒ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☒ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941)		OTHER PROFILE FACTORS				PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
			.1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	.1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1955 SAPRIC CLASS	.1946 RESTR HORIZ	
1	L 3%	0-12	WK SBK scl	FR ns/np	Br		F sap		0.3
		12-44	Mo SBK C	FR SS/SP	Red				
		44-48	Mo SBK CL	FR SS/SP	Lt Red				
2									
3									
4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT: _____

COMMENTS: _____

MENTS:

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL 1955 LTAR	LPP 1957 LTAR	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	SI (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SICL (Silty Clay Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)			MOIST	WET
S (Shoulder Slope)		SLC (Silt Loam Clay)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SIC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
					VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None		EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)


NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft²

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



ION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

PROPERTY ID #:
COUNTY:

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: APPLICANT:
ADDRESS: APPLICATION DATE: DATE EVALUATED:
PROPOSED FACILITY: PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): PROPERTY SIZE:
LOCATION OF SITE: PROPERTY RECORDED:
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
P1		0-5							
		5-23							.25
		23-53							
P2		0-5							
		5-24							.5
		24-55							
P3		0-5							
		5-26							.3
		26-53							
P4		0-6							
		6-53							.25

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Application Space (1949)		
System Type(s)		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946):
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948):
EVALUATED BY:
OTHER(S) PRESENT:

...MENT OF ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH, AND NATURAL RESOURCES
...ON OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
...SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ of ___
PROPERTY ID #: ___
COUNTY: ___

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: ___ APPLICANT: ___
ADDRESS: ___ APPLICATION DATE: ___ DATE EVALUATED: ___
PROPOSED FACILITY: ___ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): ___ PROPERTY SIZE: ___
LOCATION OF SITE: ___ PROPERTY RECORDED: ___
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other ___
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
D5 D		0-5							0.25
		5-45							
		45+							
D6 2		0-7							0.25
		7-22							
		22-5							
D7 8									
D3 4									

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Application Rate (.1949)		
System Type(s)		
Site LTAR		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): ___
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): ___
EVALUATED BY: ___
OTHER(S) PRESENT: ___