VISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH:
-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____


SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: _____ APPLICANT: _____
ADDRESS: _____ APPLICATION DATE: _____ DATE EVALUATED: 7-11-01
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: lot 8/2 pha 8 The Ft. PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☐ Pit ☐ Cut
TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	.1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
①	0-3	SL						.3
	3-27	mm sbk cl	fr SSSP					
	27-50	wk fsbk gr l	common-many faint SSP					
②	0-6	SL						.3
	6-28	mm sbk cl	fr SSSP					
	28-54	sl SSP	few faint distinct cl					

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
ilable Space (.1945)		
em Type(s)		

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: _____
OTHER(S) PRESENT:


HEATHLAND LANE
40' PUBLIC R/W
20' CART PATH ESMT
808
32,382 sq.ft
244'
235'
40,888 sq.
801
49'
DRIVE
70'
76'
145'
129'
15'
139'
274'
35' FRONT SETBACK
811
40,228 sq.ft
812
44,030 sq.ft.
273'
813
55,616 sq.ft.
765
45'
32'
33'0"
25'
35'
40'
37'
81'