DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
ON-SITE WASTEWATER SECTION

Sheet ___ *of* ___
PROPERTY ID #: _____
COUNTY: _____

SOIL/SITE EVALUATION
for ON-SITE WASTEWATER SYSTEM

OWNER: Mark Thompson _____ APPLICATION DATE _____
ADDRESS: _____ DATE EVALUATED: _____
PROPOSED FACILITY: _____ PROPOSED DESIGN FLOW (.1949): _____ PROPERTY SIZE: _____
LOCATION OF SITE: _____ PROPERTY RECORDED: _____
WATER SUPPLY: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☒ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Other _____
EVALUATION METHOD: ☐ Auger Boring ☒ Pit ☐ Cut TYPE OF WASTEWATER: ☐ Sewage ☐ Industrial Process ☐ Mixed

PROFILE #	.1940 LANDSCAPE POSITION/ SLOPE %	HORIZON DEPTH (IN.)	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 STRUCTURE/ TEXTURE	SOIL MORPHOLOGY (.1941) .1941 CONSISTENCE/ MINERALOGY	OTHER PROFILE FACTORS .1942 SOIL WETNESS/ COLOR	.1943 SOIL DEPTH	.1956 SAPRO CLASS	.1944 RESTR HORIZ	PROFILE CLASS & LTAR
1	Linear	0-4	l g	fr NS NP MExp					PS .30
		4-32	cl sbk	fi ss sp SExp					
		32-48	cl w/ sap sbk	fi ss sp SExp					
		48+	sap g	MExp					
2	Linear	0-3	l g	fr NS NP MExp					PS .30
		3-22	cl sbk	fi ss sp SExp					
		22-39	cl w/ sap	sbk fi ss sp	SExp				
		39-48	cl w/ boul	fr fi	MExp				
3	Linear	0-4	fill						PS .30
		4-23	cl/sap sbk	fi ss sp	SExp				
		23-48	sl sap g	gvs NP	SExp				
4			Rock						US
US			sap w/ rock						US

DESCRIPTION	INITIAL SYSTEM	REPAIR SYSTEM
Available Space (.1945)	PS	PS
System Type(s)	Trench Panels	Panels LPP
Site LTAR	.30	.30

OTHER FACTORS (.1946): _____
SITE CLASSIFICATION (.1948): _____
EVALUATED BY: Bill Lathrop
OTHER(S) PRESENT: Mark Thompson, Lawrence Cooper

COMMENTS: _____

LEGEND

use the following standard abbreviations

LANDSCAPE POSITION	GROUP	SOIL TEXTURE	CONVENTIONAL .1955 LTAR*	LPP .1957 LTAR*	MINERALOGY/ CONSISTENCE	STRUCTURE
CC (Concave Slope)	I	S (Sand)	1.2 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.4	NEXP (Non-expansive)	G (Single Grain)
CV (Convex Slope)		LS (Loamy Sand)			SEXP (Slightly Expansive)	M (Massive)
D (Drainage Way)					EXP (Expansive)	CR (Crumb)
DS (Debris Slump)	II	SL (Sandy Loam)	0.8 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.3		GR (Granular)
FP (Flood Plain)		L (Loam)				SBK (Subangular Blocky)
FS (Foot Slope)						ABK (Angular Blocky)
H (Head Slope)	III	Si (Silt)	0.6 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.15		PL (Platy)
L (Linear Slope)		SiCL (Silty Clay Loam)				PR (Prismatic)
N (Nose Slope)		CL (Clay Loam)				
R (Ridge)		SCL (Sandy Clay Loam)			**MOIST**	**WET**
S (Shoulder Slope)		SiL (Silt Loam)				
T (Terrace)					VFR (Very Friable)	NS (Non-sticky)
	IV	SC (Sandy Clay)	0.4 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.05	FR (Friable)	SS (Slightly Sticky)
		SiC (Silty Clay)			FI (Firm)	S (Sticky)
		C (Clay)			VFI (Very Firm v. Very Sticky)	VS (Very Sticky)
		O (Organic)	None	None	EFI (Extremely Firm)	NP (Non-plastic)
						SP (Slightly Plastic)
						P (Plastic)
						VP (Very Plastic)

*Adjust LTAR due to depth, consistence, structure, soil wetness, landscape, position, wastewater flow and quality.


NOTES

HORIZON DEPTH	In inches below natural soil surface
DEPTH OF FILL	In inches from land surface
RESTRICTIVE HORIZON	Thickness and depth from land surface
SAPROLITE	S(suitable) or U(unsuitable)
SOIL WETNESS	Inches from land surface to free water or inches from land surface to soil colors with chroma 2 or less - record Munsell color chip designation
CLASSIFICATION	S (Suitable), PS (Provisionally Suitable), or U (Unsuitable)

Evaluation of saprolite shall be by pits.

Long-term Acceptance Rate (LTAR): gal/day/ft^2

Show profile locations and other site features (dimensions, reference or benchmark, and North).



DENR (######)

Review (#####)